Appendix 6

Summary on the Department of Health NASCIS Data Release - Provisional benchmarking for National Indicator Set

Data for the year 2010-2011

Summary

Six of the former National Indicators are currently benchmarked. Of those, five are carried forward into this year's ASCOF (Adult Social Care Outcomes Framework) which replaces the old National Indicator Set. One of those (NI 125) does not lend itself to comparisons (i.e. 'good performance' is not well defined).

All indicators with an interpretable direction of travel, have improved.

Of the four NOMs that can be compared, three are in the top quartile of London Boroughs. The other (survey) measure is above average.

One result was the best in London (NI 135: Carers) with the NI 146: LD Employment result coming second.

Of the five PIs that can be benchmarked, our relative position in London has risen from an average of 9th best position to 6th best. This will change slightly as there are two authorities whose data is not yet reported this year.

A more detailed analysis follows.

DETAILED RESULTS

INDICATOR	RESULT	Rank	RESULT	Rank	RESULT 2	Rank 2
	THIS YEAR	this year	LAST	last	YRS AGO	yrs ago
		,	YEAR	year		J. 0
NI 125	79.6%	n/a	83.6%	n/a	77.7%	n/a
(NOM 2B)						
Rehabilitation following hospital discharge – clients still living at home 91 days						
later						
This indicator includes reablement and rehab from the HART team at Northwick Park,						
for older people discharged from hospital during October to December ONLY.						
CQC and more recently Dept Health have not been able to agree on the						
interpretation of the results. A very high figure could indicate only the 'easiest' cases						
are being offered rehabilitation/reablement, whereas a very low figure could mean						
clients are being referred inappropriately.						
The data collected separately about reablement will give a much richer understanding						
of the success of reablement services than this indicator does.						
NI 127	18.2 score	11 of 31	60.7%	23 of	n/a	n/a
(NOM 1A)	Change of definition			32		
National User Survey – Quality of Life						
This indicator has changed its definition to become a composite measure of 'quality						
of life', encompassing the results of several questions. Compared to the equipment						
survey done the previous year, our results have improved markedly, though many						
authorities are 'bunched together' with similar scores. The top quartile score is 18.3.						
NI 130	38.8%	8 of 31	22.2%	4 of 33	14.1%	3 of 32
(NOM 1C)	top quartile	0 01 01	top		top	0 01 01
(quartile		quartile	
Personalisation - % of clients and carers with personal budgets and direct						
payments						
While we remain top quartile in London, our relative position has slipped. The						
highest provisional results in London are now Richmond (68%), City of London (53%)						
and Islington (45%). Harrow's target for 2011-12 is 50%.						
NI 135	<mark>53.3%</mark>	1 of 31	<mark>52.1%</mark>	1 of 33	<mark>43.1%</mark>	1 of 32
-	top quartile		top		top	
discontinued-			quartile		quartile	
Carers - % of carers assessed/reviewed and receiving a service (as a % of						
clients receiving services)						
We have consistently achieved top performance in this indicator. The nearest						
London Boroug	gh is Newham	with 34%.				
NI 145	<mark>71.5%</mark>	7 of 32	63.6%	13 of	70.1%	16 of 33
(NOM 1G)	top quartile			33		
LD clients in settled accommodation						
We should disregard results from 2 years ago when data quality across London was						
very poor. Our position has now moved to top quartile. The best result recorded is						
85% in City of					1	1
NI 146	<mark>18.4%</mark>	2 of 31	<mark>14%</mark>	5 of 33	7.7%	14 of 32
(NOM 1E)	top quartile		top			
			quartile			

Figures collated by Service Performance Team 7th Sept 2011

LD clients in employment

We have improved our position in London, with only Bexley reaching a better score (19.1%).

Data for delayed discharges from hospital (NI 131) and Mental Health employment (NI 150) and settled accommodation (NI 149) are not currently reported. We are expecting Dept Health to release the MH indicators in due course. We will check why NI 131 has not been reported.

The Dept of Health have said they will not report on waiting times indicators (NI 132 and NI 133) because of their deletion from the NIS mid-year.

Other new National Outcome Measures (NOMs) are not included here because they are new for 2011-12. Benchmarking of these new indicators will be done on a voluntary basis across London through groups such as the LIEG. We will check if this information will be made available.